



AGRICULTURE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY ISSUES												
2010 & 2011 OFFICIAL RATING FORM											MIDDLE SCHOOL	
PARTICIPANT/TEAM ID#	1-2008	1-0032	0043-1	0091-1	0114-1	0219-2	0238-1	0260-1	0292-1	0433-1	0452-1	0522-1
EVALUATIVE CRITERIA												
Documentation (40 pts.)												
Cover page 1 pt.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Title page 1 pt.	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	1	.7	.7
Table of contents 1 pt.	1	1	.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Definition and explanation of issue 8 pts.	7.3	5.7	6.7	5	7.3	6	7.3	6.7	7.3	6.3	7	5.7
Research (thorough, well-organized, etc.) 8 pts.	7	6.3	5.3	5	6.7	5.7	6.7	7	7.7	6.0	6.7	5.7
Explanation of importance 7 pts.	6.3	5.3	5.3	4.7	6.7	5.3	6.7	6.3	6.7	6.0	6.3	5
Supporting graphics (logs, graphs, etc.) 7 pts.	5.7	6.3	5.7	4.7	5.7	2.7	6.3	6.7	6.7	4.3	6.3	6
Overall quality and effectiveness 7 pts.	6	5.3	5.7	4.3	5.7	3.7	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.3	6.7	5
Display (40 pts.)												
Effective communication of problem 10 pts.	7.3	7.7	7.7	6.3	8.3	7.7	7.7	7	8.7	7.3	8.3	7.3
Effective communication of solution (Models, if present, are evaluated here.) 10 pts.	7.3	6.3	8	5.7	8.3	7.3	6.7	6.7	9	7	8	6
Creativity 10 pts.	8.3	7.7	8	6.7	9	6	8.7	8.3	9	6	8.3	6.3
Artisanship and overall quality of display 10 pts.	7.3	7	8	7.3	8.7	5.3	7.7	8.3	9	6.7	8.3	6.3
Rules violation (must be initialed by coordinator and manager) minus 20% of the total possible pts.									-16			
SUBTOTAL 80 pts.												
Finalist presentation/Interview (20 pts.)												
Presentation is well-organized, clear, and articulate, with participation from both representatives 10 pts.	15	15	18	13	13	19	14	18	20	-	14	-
Responses to questions are thoughtful and knowledgeable 10 pts.												
TOTAL 100 pts.												
Comments:												
I certify these results to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.												
<u>Evaluator</u>												
Printed name: _____						Signature: _____						



AGRICULTURE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY ISSUES												
2010 & 2011 OFFICIAL RATING FORM											MIDDLE SCHOOL	
PARTICIPANT/TEAM ID#	0872-1	0894-1	1024-1	1161-1	1292-1	1292-2	1358-1	1416-1	1900-1	2107-1	2118-1	2554-1
EVALUATIVE CRITERIA												
Documentation (40 pts.)												
Cover page 1 pt.	.3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Title page 1 pt.	0	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7	0	.7	.7	.7	.7	.7
Table of contents 1 pt.	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	.7
Definition and explanation of issue 8 pts.	3.3	5	6.3	7.3	4.3	6.3	0	6.7	7	6.3	6.3	4.7
Research (thorough, well-organized, etc.) 8 pts.	3.7	4.3	5.3	7.7	4.3	6	0	5.3	7.3	6	6	4.7
Explanation of importance 7 pts.	4	4.7	5.7	6	4.7	6	0	6	6.7	5.7	5.7	4.3
Supporting graphics (logs, graphs, etc.) 7 pts.	3	3	4.3	6.7	5.3	4.7	0	6	6.7	5.7	5.7	3.3
Overall quality and effectiveness 7 pts.	3	4	4.7	6	3.7	4.3	0	5.3	6.7	6	6	3.7
Display (40 pts.)												
Effective communication of problem 10 pts.	6	5.7	6.7	8	5.7	8	5.3	7	8.7	7.7	7.7	6.3
Effective communication of solution (Models, if present, are evaluated here.) 10 pts.	5	5.3	6	8.3	5.3	6.7	5.3	6.7	9	7.7	7	5.3
Creativity 10 pts.	4.7	5.3	6	9	6.3	8	5	7	9	6.7	7.3	4.7
Artisanship and overall quality of display 10 pts.	5.7	5.7	6.3	8.7	6.3	9	3.7	6.7	9	7	8	5
Rules violation (must be initialed by coordinator and manager) minus 20% of the total possible pts.	-16											-16
SUBTOTAL 80 pts.												
Finalist presentation/Interview (20 pts.)												
Presentation is well-organized, clear, and articulate, with participation from both representatives 10 pts.												
Responses to questions are thoughtful and knowledgeable 10 pts.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	12	16	-
TOTAL 100 pts.												
Comments:												
I certify these results to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.												
<u>Evaluator</u>												
Printed name: _____ Signature: _____												